Historic, archived document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Agricultural Research Administration
Bureau of Plant Industry, Soils,

and Agricultural Engineering

H. T. & S. Office Report No. 276

imes Peach Precooling Tests imes 1951 imes

By

M. H. Haller, Horticulturist

W. A. Radspinner, Associate Horticulturist

S. E. Womeldorph, Jr. Junior Horticulturist

M. A. Smith, Pathologist

W. R. Wright, Agent-Plant Pathologist

Division of Handling, Transportation and Storage of Horticultural Crops

Report of a study made under the Research & Marketing Act of 1946 RM:c-553



Beltsville, Maryland June 17, 1952



PEACH PRECOOLING TESTS - 1951

Shipping tests from South Carolina to New York in 1948 (A.A.R. - U.S.D.A Test No. 29) indicated that the rate of cooling in cars of peaches packed naked in ventilated bushel baskets that were loaded only 3 baskets high in standard refrigerated cars was rather rapid and no appreciable benefit was obtained by precooling with the bunker ice and the built-in (Preco) fans. Since the lack of benefit from precooling was contrary to results that have been obtained with wrapped peaches in boxes (H. T. & S. No. 175) and with other commodities it seemed desirable to repeat that experiment to see whether the results could be confirmed.

1951 Test Shipments

In cooperation with the American Fruit Growers two tests along these lines were arranged at Fort Valley, Georgia. In each test 2 fan cars were used. In the first test the comparison was between precooling by means of the bunker ice and Preco fans operated by electric motors and precooling by means of a portable compressor on a truck (General American Precooling Co.). The second test was a comparison between a short precooling by means of the portable compressor and a non-precooled car. The test treatments are outlined in table 1.

Table 1 .-- Precooling treatments

Test	Date	. Car No.	Loading	Precooling					
	V-4000000000000000000000000000000000000		Start End	Kind Start End Time Hr.					
1	6/15/51	WFE 68082 WFE 66631	11:30A 2:00P 10:00A 11:30A	Preco 2:30P 7:30P 5 Portable 1:50P 6:50P 5 compressor					
2	6/26/51	.FGE 39440 WFE 72287	4:30P 7:40P 1:20P 4:30P	None Portable 5:00P 7:50P 2 5/6 compressor					

All cars were loaded with the peaches packed naked in half bushel ventilated baskets and loaded in the cars 4 baskets high with 832 baskets per car. The cars were pre-iced and rolled with the fans on under standard refrigeration with 3 percent salt added at the first icing station on the basis of the bunker capacity and at the second icing station on the basis of the amount of ice supplied. The test cars were routed Southern, Central of Georgia, Southern and Pennsylvania Railroads.

Temperature Records

Temperature records were obtained by means of Ryan recording thermometers. Air temperatures were obtained at the ceiling near the bunker and under the floor racks near the fans. Commodity temperatures were recorded by thermometers placed in the center of baskets of peaches loaded in the first, second and top layers near the centerline, quarterlength position. Outside temperatures enroute were obtained by attaching a Ryan thermometer to the underside of one of the cars of

each test. Previous to their use the recording thermometers were tested at room temperature in comparison with an accurate mercury thermometer to determine their error at high temperatures. They were also checked after arrival at the market by placing them in a refrigerator at 45° F. to determine their error at low temperatures. The correction factors for operation at high and low temperatures did not always agree in which case a variable correction was applied to the readings.

Test Packages

Six half bushel lots of peaches loaded at the top doorway position in each car served as test packages. The peaches in two of the baskets were sprayed with a suspension of spores of the brown-rot organism. In two other baskets the peaches were punctured with nine shallow-pin pricks and sprayed with a suspension of Phizopus spores and the fruit in the other two baskets was not inoculated. The fruit for the test packages was picked the day before the shipping test but was not inoculated until the morning of the test at which time it was sorted over and ripe or decayed peaches were discarded.

Test No. 1

The bunkers of car WFE 68082 (Car A) that was precooled by circulating air through the bunker ice by means of Preco fans operated by electric motors were about 2/3 full of ice in rather large blocks when loading was completed. Before starting to precool the larger blocks were broken up slightly and about 200 pounds of salt was added to each bunker. This salt was equivalent to over 5 percent of the weight of ice remaining. In accordance with commercial practice at this shed, ice was not replenished before precooling. At the end of precooling the bunkers were still 1/4 to 1/3 full.

Car WFE 66631 (Car B) was precooled by means of a portable compressor furnished by the General American Precooling Co., which the operator stated had a rated capacity of 30 tons per day. On the basis of this rated capacity during the 5 hour precooling period it theoretically had a cooling capacity equivalent to 6 1/4 tons (12,500 pounds) of melting ice. In addition there would have been some cooling of the load by the bunker ice during loading as the bunker ice had melted down 1 to 1 1/2 feet below the hatch openings representing a meltage of 1,000 to 1,500 pounds of ice. Theoretically, therefore, car B should have cooled 3 to 4 times as much as car A in which only 3,000 to 4,000 pounds of ice was estimated to have melted. Actually the results show little or no difference in the amount of cooling of the two cars indicating that cooling capacity of the compressor unit was much less than its rated capacity would indicate.

The temperature records for these 2 cars taken from the thermometer charts are shown in table 2. In both methods of precooling the cooled air was blown out over the top of the load so that the ceiling air temperature represents the temperature of the cooling medium. These ceiling air temperatures indicate precooling was somewhat more effective with the bunker ice than with the compressor unit at the beginning of precooling period when the bunkers were fairly well filled

with ice but became less effective later in the precooling period when the bunker ice was nearly depleted. At the end of the 5 hour precooling period the commodity temperatures averaged about 4 degrees lower in car B cooled with the portable unit. However, 3 hours after precooling was finished there was no difference in the commodity temperatures in the 2 cars.

The condition of the fruit in the test packages on arrival and after 2 and 4 days at room temperature is shown in table 3. Since there was practically no difference in commodity temperature in the two cars, no appreciable difference in the condition of the fruit would be expected and none was found. The average loss in weight of the fruit was 1.7 percent for each car. Eleven and 7 percent of the fruit was eating ripe on arrival. After 2 days at room temperature 31 to 65 percent of the peaches in the various lots were considered to be ripe. By 4 days after arrival all of the fruit that had not decayed was classed as ripe. Total decay on arrival averaged less than 1.0 percent in both cars. Even after 4 days at room temperature the total decay ranged from 1.6 to only 7.1 percent as the maximum in any of the uninoculated lots. Artificial inoculation greatly increased brown rot and Rhizopus rot but there was no significant difference between the cars.

Summary Test 1

Precooling with the bunker ice by means of the Preco fans was not done under optimum conditions as the ice was in largerblocks than desirable and appreciable ice meltage had occurred before precooling started and the ice was not replenished. Even so precooling for a period of 5 hours with bunker ice and fans was practically as effective as precooling with a portable compressor rated at 30 tons. Had precooling extended over a longer period additional cooling could have been accomplished by the portable compressor whereas very little additional cooling could have been obtained from the other method unless the ice was replenished. Precooling with the portable compressor was not as rapid as might be expected from its rated capacity.

Test No. 2

This test was intended to be a repetition of test no. 1, but due to delays in loading there was no time to precool car C (FGE 39440) and slightly less than 3 hours was available for precooling car D (WFE 72287) with a portable precooler before both cars were pulled out. Consequently the non-precooled car had the benefit of fan operation (in transit) almost immediately after loading was completed.

Temperature records for these 2 cars taken from the thermometer charts are shown in table 4. They indicate that cooling was as fast in the non-precooled car that was pulled out immediately after loading as in the car that was precooled for only 3 hours. During the latter part of the transit period the average commodity temperatures were appreciably lower in the non-precooled car than in the precooled

car. A possible explanation for this was that one of the fans on car D was found to be out of order and not operating on arrival at the terminal market. The fairly large difference in temperature between the top and bottom of the load in this car during most of the transit period would indicate that the fan may have been out of order during most of the transit period.

The condition of the fruit in the test packages is shown in table 5. There was no appreciable difference in weight loss in transit. The percentage of ripe fruit averaged slightly higher in the precooled car than in the non-precooled cars but this slight difference also was not significant. Because of the high percentage of decay that developed even on uninoculated fruit only a relatively small percentage of the fruit ripened without decay. Precooling apparently reduced decay from natural infection (uninoculated samples) but had no effect on decay from inoculations just previous to loading.

Summary

The results indicate that a short period of precooling (up to 5 hours) with a portable compressor refrigerating unit was not appreciably more effective than precooling by forced circulation of the air through the bunker ice. They tend to support the results of earlier tests in indicating that cooling of loads of peaches packed naked in ventilated baskets is rather rapid in fan cars when moved promptly after loading and shipped under standard refrigeration plus salt at reicing. Under these conditions little benefit to the condition of the fruit or development of decay was indicated from a short period of precooling.

Table 2.--Temperature records during precooling and enroute from Fort Valley, Georgia to New York City, Test No. 1, 1951

Position $\underline{1}$	Reading	_	eratu	re (°F	。) e	t indi	cated	hours	after	start	of preco	oling 2/
		. 0	0+	5	12	24	36	48	60	72	84	96
Top Bunker Bottom Bunker TQL NQL	Air Air Com.	72 47 78 78	54 63 77 76	50 58 61 63	59 43 61 62	,42 44 54 55	40 41 46 47	50 41 42 43	40 40 43 41	54 39 42 40	47 37 43 39	54 36 44 40
BQL	Com.	71	70	62	58	50	45	42	40	40	40	40
Average	Com.	76	74	62	60	53	46	42	47	41	41	41
		Car E	(WFE	66631)	- Pr	ecooled	l with	n porta	able co	mpress	or	
Top Bunker	Air	75	62	45	49	54	40	37	47	46	46	47
Bottom Bunker	Air	44	46	40	46	36	43	41	40	40	37	37
TQL	Com.	79	78	61	57	51	46	43	42	41	42	43
MQL	Com.	77	75	55	54	51	47	42	42	40	41	42
BQL	Com.	80	76	59	55	49	46	43	42	42	42	42
Average	Con.	79	76	58	55	50	46	43	42	41	42	42
Outside	Air	76	76	74	67	80	64	85	62	73	61	79

^{1/} Positions TQL, MQL, and BQL represent top, middle and bottom quarterlength, respectively.

^{2/} The temperature just before precooling started is represented as the 0-reading and those immediately after precooling started by 0+.



Table 3.--Condition of Dixigem peaches in test packages on arrival at terminal market and after 2 and 4 days at room temperature.

(Test 1 - 1951)

s	tal		2.50	0 10 2	₩ ~			7.1	o' τ' κ'	٦.٢. ٤	9																
r Days	Total			35.0 46.3 40.4	25.57	3 25.7			25.2 26.4 25.8	40.1 33.1 36.4	22.6																
F	080		6 0.6 6 0.3	000	6 1.2 1 0 9 0.7	5 0.3		5 1.9	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1.4	1.0																
After	R 80		00 0	17.	43.6 22.1 32.9	16.		22.0	2860	27.9 30.7 29.4	12.5																
	m 88		20.0 1.55	15.2 32.0 23.3	3.7.2	8.9		2.6	23.2 17.2 20.1	10. 2. 4. 4. 4.	₩.6																
h inspection e Percent)	Total		2.4	10.2 19.1 14.5	22.4 9.8 16.2	10.6		20.0	7.1	21.8 7.8 14.4	7.8																
inspe Perce wo Da	0 48		000	000	1.2	0.2	Precooler Unit #P-62	000	0.6	1.4 0 0.6	0.3																
cay at each i (Cumulative F	# 42		000	6.8	20.6 8.6 14.6	7.8		2.0	2.7.0	2.00	5.6																
. at mule	29 PC		1.1	5.00	0.0	2.6		0.6	45.47	1.01	1.9																
Decay at each (Cumulative After	Total	fans	010	0.0	000	9.0	ler Un:	0.0	9.0	0.0	η·0																
rival	4 1/ 0 1/ 8 1/ 0 1/	Preco	000	000	000	0	Precoo	000	000	000	0																
At Al	R 1/	ice and	000	0.0	000	0.5	Portable	9.0	0.6	000	0.3																
F	T be	with 10	0.5	000	000	0.1		000	000	0.7	0.1																
	rs 4 days	Precooled 1	97.1 98.4 97.7	65.0 53.7 59.6	54.5 74.2 64.3	74.3	with General American	92.9 97.3 95.0	74.8 73.6 74.2	59.9 66.9 63.6	4.77																
Eating ripe ach inspect lative Perc	2 days	WFE 68082 -	54.7 62.5 58.8	31.1	41.8 49.7 45.7	146.1	ith Ger	47.4 65.3 56.4	56.8 49.1 52.8	34.7 47.6 41.5	50.1																
ate (Cumu At	Arrival		13.5 11.4 12.2	4.0 12.3 8.0	10.3	11.0	ecooled w	4.7.4	4.8 4.00 4.00	4.1	6.9																
Total	fruit No.		170 184 354	177 162 339	165 163 328	1021	WFE 66631 Pre	156 147 303	155 163 318	1 ⁴ 7 166 313	934																
Wt. loss	transit %																		1.1	1.5	2.0	1.7	WFE 6	0 0 0 0 0 %	2.3	10.0	1.7
	Georgia Lbs.		27.0 28.5 55.5	27.5 26.5 54.0	27.2 27.7 54.9	163.4		27.0 27.5 54.5	25.0 26.5 51.5	25.3 25.0 50.3	156.3																
	Lot Inoculation No. treatment		A-1 Check A-2 Check Sub-total and average	A-7 Inoc. B. R. Sub-total and average	A-5 Inoc. Rhiz. A-6 Inoc. Rhiz. Sub-total and average	Car Total and average		B-1 Check B-2 Check Sub-total and average	B-7 Inoc. B. R. B-4 Inoc. B. R. Sub-total and average	B-5 Inoc. Rhiz. B-6 Inoc. Rhiz. Sub-total and average	Car total and average																
	ÀŽ		र्व र्व	स स	स स			சு ம்	角角	ri ri																	



Table 4.—Temperature records during precooling and while enroute from Fort Valley, Georgia to New York City, Test No. 2, 1951

Position	Reading	Temp	Car (eratu: 0+		3944 (.) at 12		ot pre ated h 36	cooled ours a: 48	fter 1 60	oading 72	96	120_
Top Bunker Bottom Bunker TQL MQL BQL Average	Air Com. Com. Com. Com.	82 42 84 85 88 86	63 44 83 84 87 85	73 44 81 78 74 78	63 48 66 65 62 64	41 44 57 57 53 56	42 44 49 51 48 49	49 38 45 46 44 45	40 36 46 44 42 44	46 38 43 43 40 42	47 35 44 42 40 42	50 34 44 41 38 41
	Car	D (1	FE 72	287) -	- Prec	coled	with p	ortabl	e comp	ressor		
Top Bunker Bottom Bunker TQL MQL BQL Average	Air Com. Com. Com. Com.	84 49 87 85 83 85	74 51 85 83 81 83	58 55 76 76 79 77	50 54 69 66 64 66	56 40 60 58 53 57	46 39 56 55 51 54	56 39 52 53 49 51	54 39 52 50 45 49	52 39 50 47 44 47	52 37 50 46 41 46	56 37 48 43 41 44
Outside	Air	86	85	77	70	98	72	82	76	67	66	78

Positions TQL, MQL, and BQL represent top, middle and bottom quarterlength, respectively.

^{2/} The temperature just before precooling started is represented as the 0-reading and those immediately after precooling started by 0+.



Table 5.--Condition of Early Hiley peaches in test packages on arrival on the terminal market and after 2 and μ days at room temperature. (Pest 2 - 1951)

		l.	M 10.01	~10	~018	١٥		010160	0.01 +	V0.10V0	₁₀ 1
ent)	Total		62.5 62.5 62.2	90.7 83.1 87.0	91.7	78.6		41.2 35.2 38.3	85.0 90.2 87.4	80.6 80.5 80.5	9.89
precent)	days		000	0.8	0.8	₽.0		2.3	000	000	4.0
inspection (Cumulat	r four		55.3 57.8 56.6	31.8	83.5 66.7 74.8	52.5		37.5 30.5 34.1	17.0 39.0 27.0	62.3	9.04
	After B		5.5	57.4 62.1 59.6	7.4 15.5 11.6	25.7		447	68.0 51.2 60.4	20.2 15.3 17.8	7.68
	Total		54.5 57.8 56.2	83.7 79.0 81.4	88.4 76.0 82.0	73.2		32.3 22.7 27.7	74.8 89.4 81.5	73.47	8.09
	days 0		000	1.6	000	0.3		2.3	000	000	₹.0
at each	After two R		48.8 53.1 51.0	31.8 19.4 25.7	81.8 62.0 71.6	49.3		30.1 18.8 24.6	17.0 39.0 27.0	53.5	35-3
Decay	A H		5.7.7	50.4 59.7 54.9	6.6	23.6	uo	2.2	57.8 50.4 54.4	20.2 15.3 17.8	25.1
	Total	fans on	19.5 23.4 21.5	25.5 13.7 19.8	52.9 26.9 36.4	25.9	fans o	14.0 10.9 12.5	15.0 29.3 21.5	13.7	16.2
	$\frac{\text{arrival}}{\sqrt{\rho}}$	car, fa	000	1.6	000	0.3	n car,	0 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	000	000	0.1
	At ar	fan c	15.4 22.7 19.1	20.2 8.1 14.2	51.2 16.3 33.2	22.1	ed fan	14.0 10.2 12.1	13.6 28.4 20.4	12.1	15.5
	B 1/	ooled	7.00 5.00 7.00 7.00 7.00	401	1.7	3.4	precooled	000	1.4 0.8 1.1	1.6	9.0
Remaining unripe	After 4 days	39440 Non-precooled	wr. 0 v.	000	000	1.5	Portable p	5.3	000	000	1.9
ng ripe inspection	After 4 days	1	35.0 32.0 33.5	9.3 15.9 13.0	8.3 17.8 13.2	19.9	- 72287	49.7 62.5 56.0	15.0	19.4 19.5 19.4	29.5
Eating ripe at each inspect	After 2 days	Ħ	29.3 28.1 28.7	7.7 16.1 11.9	8.3 17.1 12.8	17.8	WEE	33.1 41.4 37.1	10.9	16.9 17.8 17.4	21.3
at es (Cumu)	At arriva		5.7 6.4 6.4	2.3	6.6 13.2 10.0	7.7		6.6 18.8 12.5	5.7.9	12.1 10.2 11.2	10.1
	fruit No.		123 128 251	129 124 253	121 129 250	75 ¹ t		136 128 264	147 123 270	124 118 242	922
Wt. loss	in transit		2001 1001 105	3.2	2.23	2.0		2.7	2.5	1.2	2.2
	Wet Wt. Georgia Lbs.		25.1 26.7 51.8	. 25.2 26.5 51.7	25.0 26.0 51.0	154.5		255.55 5.55 5.55	26.5 24.6 51.1	24.9 4.8 4.8 4.8	125.1
	Inoculation Treatment		Check Check Sub-total and average	Inoc. B.R. Inoc. B.R. Sub-total and average	Inoc. Rhiz. Inoc. Rhiz. Sub-total and average	Car total and average		Check Check Sub-total and average	Inoc. B.R. Inoc. B.R. Sub-total and average	Inoc. Rhiz. Inoc. Rhiz. Sub-total and average	Car total and average
	Lot No		0-1 2-2	0 1-13	6-5			D-1	D-4	D-5	

^{1/} B - Brown rot; R - Rhizopus rot; 0 - Other rots.

^{2/} Percentage weight loss of five test packages.

